



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/756,106	01/09/2001	Joseph M. Cannon	Cannon 114-38-20	Cannon 114-38-20 5625	
7590 12/16/2004		EXAMINER			
William H Bollman Manelli Denison & Selter PLLC			CRAVER, CHARLES R		
2000 M Street NW Suite 700 Washington, DC 20036-3307			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2682		
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan	09/756,106	CANNON ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles R Craver	2682				
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stany reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a replication. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 rich will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH atute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 August 2004.						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ 1	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho 5) Claim(s) 7-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	drawn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on $\underline{1-9-01}$ is/are: a) accepted or b) \square objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
	Examiner. Note the attached C	True Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Bur * See the attached detailed Office action for a line. 	ents have been received. ents have been received in App priority documents have been re reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
		· · · - ·				
Attack we aut (-)						
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	∧ □ 1-4 1 • •					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 	4) Interview Sum Paper No(s)/N	nmary (PTO-413) fail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 09/756,106

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ziegler in view of Mauney, both of record.

Claims 1, 4: Ziegler discloses a BLUETOOTH wireless piconet device (FIG 3) comprising, inherently, a piconet front end, and means for entering data to allow a user to provide data associated with other devices within range to perform an inquiry (col 6 lines 34-56). According to BLUETOOTH standards, which Ziegler refers to (col 3 lines 37-53), such an inquiry inherently involves the inquiring device receiving unique addresses of the other devices, see pages 37-39.

Ziegler discloses that the data is a BR_ADDR, an IEEE address, and not a shorter PIN number.

Mauney discloses a handheld wireless device for direct communication with another device (col 6 lines 43-51), which shares functions with a BLUETOOTH device such as device inquiries (col 6 lines 56-65, col 8 lines 15-27), in which a unique address for a device with which the primary device may communicate is retrieved by a menu (col 14 lines 37-51, col 43 lines 41-50); Mauney further discloses that the menu may include a name for the device (col 33 lines 7-18), which reads a passcode selection module.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Ziegler in such a way, as Mauney discloses that it's features provide flexibility and enhanced performance (col 5 lines 45-48).

Claim 2: a BLUETOOTH inquiry returns a list of unique addresses which would be associated with the aforementioned passcode. Claim 3: Mauney discloses a browsable list of passcodes. Claim 5: the BR_ADDR is a 48-bit address. Claim 6: Mauney discloses lists of addresses of other user's devices which may be stored in numbers of groups and subgroups for retrieval by the user according to a list of associated passcodes (col 16 lines 6-36, col 29 lines 51-67, col 52 lines 9-21).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7-14 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

Claims 7 and 11 teach towards (currently amended) a system and method for obtaining a unique address pairing between separate wireless piconet network devices, comprising singly entering a passcode or PIN into a first wireless piconet network device including a piconet front end, said passcode or PIN being distinct from an IEEE address, and providing to said first wireless piconet network device a unique address of a second wireless piconet network device only if a passcode or PIN in said second wireless piconet network devices matches said single entered passcode or PIN, wherein at least two piconet network devices in a common piconet network are associated with said single entered passcode or PIN.

While the BLUETOOTH standards and the prior art teach a passcode and means to resolve said passcode to a BR_ADDR for an inquiry, it is neither taught nor suggested by the prior art to send a PIN or passcode in a general or limited inquiry for the purposes of matching said PIN to retrieve the BR_ADDR; the BLUETOOTH standards include means for matching PINs in two devices, but such a pairing or bonding action occurs after an inquiry provides the BR_ADDR to the inquiring device.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Application/Control Number: 09/756,106

Art Unit: 2682

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Page 5

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

Or faxed to:

(703) 872-9306 for both formal and informal/draft communications, labeled

as such.

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza II, 200

South 20th St, Arlington VA, first floor lobby.

Any inquiry concerning this or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to examiner Charles Craver at (703) 305-3965.

If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Vivian Chin, can be reached at (703) 308-6739.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should

be directed to the Group receptionist at (703) 305-4700.

CC

C.Craver

CHARLES CRAVER

PRIMARY EXAMINE

December 13, 2004